

**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



September 24, 2002

## COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 02/03-17

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS  
ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2002/03 ALLOCATION FOR THE  
ADMINISTRATION OF THE NON-ASSISTANCE FOOD STAMP  
(NAFS) AND FOSTER CARE (FC) PROGRAMS

The purpose of this letter is to provide County Welfare Departments with allocations for the administrative cost of the NAFS and FC programs. Due to lower revenues and other demands on the available State General Funds (SGF), the FC Administrative Basic allocation is being held to the FY 2001/02 amount. In addition, due to the significant decline in General Fund revenues, Food Stamps Administrative Basic was reduced from FY 2001/02 by \$6.8 million in SGF. Attachments I and II reflect the anticipated SGF allocations for administration of the NAFS and FC programs.

These allocations include staff and support, fraud, Food Stamp issuance, and staff development. Staff and support include funding for line staff and supervisors, administrators, clerical staff, overhead and Early Fraud Eligibility Workers. Fraud amounts include regular Welfare Fraud Investigators (WFIs), Early Fraud WFIs, District Attorney contracts and prosecution.

The FY 2002/03 Food Stamps Administrative allocations can be used to fund positions to provide for the continuation of mandated Food Stamp quality control and data reporting activities, through the 18 Performance Sample Counties (excluding Los Angeles County). The Food Stamp Reauthorization Act of 2002 will restore federal benefits to disabled legal noncitizens effective October 2002, and to legal noncitizens who have lived in the United States for five years or more effective April 2003. For allocation purposes, \$2,123,414 has been included in the NAFS Admin Basic allocation for this population. Staff development includes funding for basic costs in support of county eligibility worker staff development activities. The Prospective Budgeting premise reflects the administrative costs as well as the net savings associated with this policy. The reprogramming costs for legacy systems will be allocated at a later date once the California Department of Social Services has received final numbers from the counties. Reprogramming costs for the SAWS consortia systems will not be allocated, as they will be claimed through an invoicing process. The Health and Human Services Data Center will provide the counties and SAWS consortia with information concerning the approval and claiming processes for the reprogramming activities.

Attachment III provides the Temporary Assistance for Needy Families allocation for the Emergency Assistance portion of FC.

Attachments IV and V provide descriptions of all premise items and Attachment VI is a listing of applicable program codes for NAFS and FC. The administrative expenditures charged against these programs are tracked from the County Expense Claim.

Transferability of funds between FC and NAFS will continue to be allowed for the following counties: Alpine, Amador, Colusa, Glenn, Inyo, Lassen, Mariposa, Modoc, Mono, Plumas, Sierra and Trinity. It is anticipated that any surplus funds, within this small county group, will be redistributed at closeout among those counties who overspent their allocations.

If you have any questions concerning this allocation, please contact your county analyst in the County Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806.

***Original Signed by  
Douglas D. Park***

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief  
Financial Planning Branch

Attachments

C: CWDA

FY 02/03 NAFS ALLOCATION STATE FUNDS											
COUNTY	NAFS ADMIN BASIC	BUDGET ADJUSTMENT	FOOD STAMP STAFF DEVELOPMENT	NATIONWIDE PRISONER MATCH	LEADER SAVINGS	PA to NA FUND SHIFT	INACCESSIBLE VEHICLE RESOURCE	PROSPECTIVE BUDGETING SAVINGS	EBT ADMINISTRATIVE IMPACT	CALIFORNIA FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (CFAP)	NAFS STATE FUNDS ALLOCATION
Alameda	\$6,333,105	(\$119,876)	\$85,436	\$2,753	\$0	\$2,337,342	\$5,887	(\$109,906)	(\$525,453)	\$560,645	\$8,569,933
Alpine	\$12,528	\$574	\$4,550	\$25	\$0	\$7,413	\$50	(\$230)	\$0	\$1,173	\$26,083
Amador	\$105,723	\$6,607	\$5,922	\$90	\$0	\$42,981	\$189	(\$3,525)	\$0	\$17,982	\$175,969
Butte	\$947,059	\$52,734	\$14,154	\$1,572	\$0	\$331,664	\$2,937	(\$54,832)	\$0	\$279,704	\$1,574,992
Calaveras	\$138,372	\$12,948	\$9,496	\$195	\$0	\$38,749	\$374	(\$6,986)	\$0	\$35,639	\$228,787
Colusa	\$114,247	\$8,760	\$7,876	\$129	\$0	\$27,295	\$252	(\$4,700)	\$0	\$23,976	\$177,835
Contra Costa	\$3,601,936	(\$86,796)	\$33,621	\$1,252	\$0	\$1,355,170	\$2,806	(\$52,392)	(\$67,481)	\$267,260	\$5,055,376
Del Norte	\$131,610	\$24,061	\$16,431	\$380	\$0	\$2,010	\$699	(\$13,053)	\$0	\$66,587	\$228,725
El Dorado	\$539,251	\$2,388	\$18,579	\$432	\$0	\$117,052	\$868	(\$16,195)	\$0	\$82,615	\$744,990
Fresno	\$3,168,834	\$187,396	\$34,636	\$5,253	\$0	\$2,342,025	\$10,145	(\$189,414)	(\$264,443)	\$966,226	\$6,260,658
Glenn	\$154,363	\$13,931	\$16,708	\$217	\$0	\$73,821	\$403	(\$7,523)	\$0	\$38,375	\$290,295
Humboldt	\$934,286	\$27,176	\$20,827	\$1,150	\$0	\$296,340	\$2,174	(\$40,591)	\$0	\$207,058	\$1,448,420
Imperial	\$558,999	\$81,852	\$12,556	\$1,826	\$0	\$274,093	\$3,210	(\$59,928)	\$0	\$305,700	\$1,178,308
Inyo	\$121,782	\$7,777	\$10,139	\$116	\$0	\$9,990	\$223	(\$4,164)	\$0	\$21,240	\$167,103
Kern	\$2,576,727	\$181,596	\$89,891	\$4,797	\$0	\$991,688	\$9,100	(\$169,898)	\$0	\$866,671	\$4,550,572
Kings	\$481,235	\$45,097	\$18,573	\$1,085	\$0	\$128,423	\$2,024	(\$37,806)	\$0	\$192,854	\$831,485
Lake	\$203,060	\$30,425	\$9,523	\$438	\$0	\$172,218	\$885	(\$16,527)	\$0	\$84,309	\$484,331
Lassen	\$102,798	\$10,585	\$13,129	\$158	\$0	\$38,270	\$305	(\$5,697)	\$0	\$29,058	\$188,606
Los Angeles	\$49,535,232	\$1,010,303	\$432,177	\$52,560	(\$2,126,840)	\$17,377,690	\$102,734	(\$1,918,128)	\$0	\$9,784,616	\$74,250,344
Madera	\$456,985	\$55,097	\$20,043	\$1,248	\$0	\$87,000	\$2,280	(\$42,570)	\$0	\$217,156	\$797,239
Marin	\$636,758	(\$3,321)	\$14,011	\$442	\$0	\$79,684	\$846	(\$15,786)	\$0	\$80,530	\$793,164
Mariposa	\$68,616	\$6,350	\$8,847	\$96	\$0	\$29,770	\$181	(\$3,385)	\$0	\$17,266	\$127,741
Mendocino	\$875,340	(\$1,275)	\$6,387	\$670	\$0	\$177,179	\$1,259	(\$23,501)	\$0	\$119,882	\$1,155,941
Merced	\$1,363,519	\$9,762	\$11,737	\$1,244	\$0	\$485,382	\$2,302	(\$42,992)	(\$45,125)	\$219,307	\$2,005,136
Modoc	\$41,911	\$5,461	\$7,936	\$84	\$0	\$36,908	\$155	(\$2,899)	\$0	\$14,790	\$104,346
Mono	\$55,794	\$2,887	\$17,026	\$39	\$0	\$13,525	\$80	(\$1,494)	\$0	\$7,623	\$95,480
Monterey	\$2,154,994	(\$434)	\$39,395	\$1,645	\$0	\$649,653	\$3,177	(\$59,328)	\$0	\$302,638	\$3,091,740
Napa	\$565,721	(\$11,968)	\$15,083	\$242	\$0	\$59,903	\$487	(\$9,094)	\$0	\$46,389	\$666,763
Nevada	\$447,759	\$14,375	\$26,649	\$212	\$0	\$126,418	\$416	(\$7,766)	\$0	\$39,613	\$647,676
Orange	\$6,302,751	(\$18,460)	\$39,270	\$4,308	\$0	\$3,046,086	\$8,794	(\$164,176)	(\$164,288)	\$837,482	\$9,891,767
Placer	\$668,084	(\$11,839)	\$19,054	\$259	\$0	\$341,137	\$643	(\$12,006)	\$0	\$61,244	\$1,066,576
Plumas	\$84,155	\$5,625	\$10,855	\$78	\$0	\$45,109	\$160	(\$2,989)	\$0	\$15,246	\$158,239
Riverside	\$4,991,803	(\$27,370)	\$56,059	\$3,158	\$0	\$3,589,756	\$6,593	(\$123,100)	(\$48,441)	\$627,949	\$9,076,407
Sacramento	\$6,065,558	\$26,395	\$46,923	\$4,631	\$0	\$4,933,633	\$9,748	(\$181,993)	\$0	\$928,372	\$11,833,267
San Benito	\$115,908	\$11,685	\$11,401	\$173	\$0	\$50,025	\$337	(\$6,297)	\$0	\$32,121	\$215,353
San Bernardino	\$5,231,859	\$204,850	\$58,542	\$7,178	\$0	\$4,362,814	\$13,708	(\$255,920)	\$0	\$1,305,480	\$10,928,511
San Diego	\$5,867,870	\$15,273	\$37,271	\$4,356	\$0	\$3,992,116	\$9,132	(\$170,485)	\$0	\$869,668	\$10,625,201
San Francisco	\$5,848,599	(\$22,554)	\$42,554	\$4,056	\$0	\$1,169,648	\$8,002	(\$149,398)	(\$144,607)	\$762,100	\$7,518,400
San Joaquin	\$2,164,674	\$86,747	\$24,555	\$3,008	\$0	\$805,905	\$5,730	(\$106,968)	(\$16,316)	\$545,660	\$3,512,995
San Luis Obispo	\$898,197	(\$10,264)	\$6,201	\$501	\$0	\$395,302	\$1,031	(\$19,248)	\$1,394	\$98,186	\$1,371,300
San Mateo	\$1,121,245	(\$28,854)	\$18,312	\$334	\$0	\$639,773	\$820	(\$15,301)	\$4,382	\$78,054	\$1,818,765
Santa Barbara	\$1,279,817	\$1,843	\$24,068	\$848	\$0	\$617,159	\$1,949	(\$36,376)	(\$20,379)	\$185,557	\$2,054,486
Santa Clara	\$5,755,986	(\$115,576)	\$73,754	\$2,365	\$0	\$2,483,882	\$5,158	(\$96,291)	(\$278,902)	\$491,192	\$8,321,568
Santa Cruz	\$967,286	(\$9,453)	\$10,396	\$546	\$0	\$138,916	\$1,157	(\$21,598)	\$539	\$110,174	\$1,197,963
Shasta	\$772,046	\$46,686	\$21,065	\$1,332	\$0	\$301,749	\$2,501	(\$46,708)	\$0	\$238,266	\$1,336,937
Sierra	\$50,039	\$992	\$5,905	\$25	\$0	\$17,743	\$50	(\$460)	\$0	\$2,345	\$76,639
Siskiyou	\$382,180	\$23,125	\$28,014	\$350	\$0	\$112,153	\$672	(\$12,542)	\$0	\$63,981	\$597,933
Solano	\$1,542,332	(\$33,063)	\$29,436	\$625	\$0	\$1,061,418	\$1,321	(\$24,663)	(\$47,109)	\$125,811	\$2,656,108
Sonoma	\$960,077	(\$790)	\$29,613	\$663	\$0	\$655,464	\$1,399	(\$26,107)	(\$8,445)	\$133,174	\$1,745,048
Stanislaus	\$2,331,204	(\$6,365)	\$54,922	\$1,654	\$0	\$1,093,823	\$3,266	(\$60,975)	(\$30,646)	\$311,043	\$3,697,926
Sutter	\$323,869	\$13,928	\$12,991	\$455	\$0	\$108,999	\$885	(\$16,515)	\$0	\$84,243	\$528,855
Tehama	\$296,786	\$31,426	\$12,629	\$490	\$0	\$96,722	\$915	(\$17,077)	\$0	\$87,110	\$509,001
Trinity	\$86,642	\$7,614	\$18,924	\$119	\$0	\$22,360	\$218	(\$4,074)	\$0	\$20,784	\$152,587
Tulare	\$1,738,604	\$92,084	\$27,605	\$2,585	\$0	\$913,343	\$5,254	(\$98,092)	(\$28,871)	\$500,379	\$3,152,891
Tuolumne	\$225,628	\$16,551	\$15,798	\$244	\$0	\$70,716	\$480	(\$8,953)	\$0	\$45,673	\$366,137
Ventura	\$2,893,910	(\$28,076)	\$37,441	\$1,705	\$0	\$1,055,928	\$3,467	(\$64,730)	(\$70,110)	\$330,198	\$4,159,733
Yolo	\$574,163	\$3,796	\$59,258	\$492	\$0	\$311,364	\$961	(\$17,932)	(\$11,699)	\$91,475	\$1,011,878
Yuba	\$655,982	\$7,811	\$20,619	\$622	\$0	\$182,301	\$1,201	(\$22,381)	\$0	\$114,151	\$960,306
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$136,625,798</b>	<b>\$1,858,239</b>	<b>\$1,874,773</b>	<b>\$127,510</b>	<b>(\$2,126,840)</b>	<b>\$60,325,000</b>	<b>\$252,000</b>	<b>(\$4,703,665)</b>	<b>(\$1,766,000)</b>	<b>\$23,994,000</b>	<b>\$216,460,815</b>

**FY 2002/03  
FOSTER CARE ALLOCATION  
STATE FUNDS**

County	Foster Care Administrative Basic	AAP	FC Staff Development	Kin-GAP Savings	FC STATE FUNDS ALLOCATION
Alameda	\$1,717,509	\$75,013	\$5,112	(\$5)	\$1,797,629
Alpine	\$7,188	\$238	\$525	\$0	\$7,951
Amador	\$10,739	\$604	\$168	(\$16)	\$11,495
Butte	\$145,944	\$31,909	\$1,071	(\$3,846)	\$175,078
Calaveras	\$14,035	\$1,490	\$654	(\$388)	\$15,791
Colusa	\$9,905	\$967	\$244	\$0	\$11,116
Contra Costa	\$740,898	\$73,551	\$5,373	(\$2,562)	\$817,260
Del Norte	\$19,978	\$3,442	\$824	(\$92)	\$24,152
El Dorado	\$85,282	\$9,327	\$706	(\$464)	\$94,851
Fresno	\$752,595	\$60,971	\$2,471	(\$8,123)	\$807,915
Glenn	\$22,638	\$4,264	\$547	(\$162)	\$27,287
Humboldt	\$74,104	\$16,380	\$488	(\$518)	\$90,454
Imperial	\$72,962	\$5,048	\$208	\$0	\$78,218
Inyo	\$27,570	\$259	\$519	(\$54)	\$28,294
Kern	\$444,756	\$71,925	\$2,797	(\$6,321)	\$513,156
Kings	\$39,269	\$12,482	\$188	(\$356)	\$51,583
Lake	\$22,959	\$4,189	\$627	(\$545)	\$27,230
Lassen	\$16,374	\$2,222	\$1,081	(\$146)	\$19,531
Los Angeles	\$12,163,299	\$1,010,637	\$32,126	(\$395,082)	\$12,810,979
Madera	\$26,665	\$5,420	\$257	(\$5)	\$32,337
Marin	\$84,427	\$11,619	\$199	\$0	\$96,245
Mariposa	\$7,426	\$842	\$288	\$0	\$8,556
Mendocino	\$94,767	\$9,478	\$223	(\$345)	\$104,123
Merced	\$111,687	\$19,729	\$309	(\$253)	\$131,472
Modoc	\$4,636	\$604	\$184	\$0	\$5,424
Mono	\$10,267	\$131	\$229	\$0	\$10,627
Monterey	\$258,447	\$25,489	\$1,205	(\$734)	\$284,407
Napa	\$77,925	\$5,011	\$377	(\$426)	\$82,887
Nevada	\$27,712	\$4,383	\$756	(\$259)	\$32,592
Orange	\$1,031,127	\$159,977	\$2,794	(\$18,473)	\$1,175,425
Placer	\$154,660	\$10,515	\$1,246	(\$1,257)	\$165,164
Plumas	\$18,603	\$1,054	\$310	(\$259)	\$19,708
Riverside	\$1,587,890	\$115,220	\$10,683	(\$31,800)	\$1,681,993
Sacramento	\$1,945,005	\$178,681	\$6,598	(\$17,464)	\$2,112,820
San Benito	\$15,057	\$1,174	\$779	\$0	\$17,010
San Bernardino	\$1,431,485	\$95,590	\$5,427	(\$13,333)	\$1,519,169
San Diego	\$1,432,306	\$302,348	\$4,334	(\$17,394)	\$1,721,594
San Francisco	\$1,299,440	\$75,135	\$2,566	(\$3,970)	\$1,373,172
San Joaquin	\$183,266	\$86,094	\$522	(\$1,796)	\$268,085
San Luis Obispo	\$158,162	\$21,341	\$297	(\$1,316)	\$178,484
San Mateo	\$510,054	\$29,893	\$11,013	(\$458)	\$550,501
Santa Barbara	\$237,035	\$14,785	\$682	(\$237)	\$252,265
Santa Clara	\$1,190,727	\$77,720	\$2,558	(\$6,855)	\$1,264,150
Santa Cruz	\$222,889	\$22,988	\$656	\$0	\$246,533
Shasta	\$59,622	\$24,327	\$287	(\$1,494)	\$82,742
Sierra	\$9,721	\$131	\$185	\$0	\$10,037
Siskiyou	\$28,323	\$4,793	\$406	\$0	\$33,522
Solano	\$237,344	\$13,856	\$1,014	(\$81)	\$252,133
Sonoma	\$115,518	\$18,492	\$837	(\$653)	\$134,194
Stanislaus	\$203,273	\$41,335	\$903	(\$1,278)	\$244,233
Sutter	\$19,175	\$9,214	\$204	\$0	\$28,593
Tehama	\$37,311	\$5,516	\$566	(\$259)	\$43,134
Trinity	\$5,302	\$671	\$361	\$0	\$6,334
Tulare	\$293,443	\$56,259	\$1,036	(\$11,558)	\$339,180
Tuolumne	\$31,653	\$2,884	\$442	\$0	\$34,979
Ventura	\$361,037	\$29,780	\$1,901	(\$971)	\$391,747
Yolo	\$115,147	\$20,023	\$1,642	(\$394)	\$136,418
Yuba	\$49,562	\$17,338	\$696	\$0	\$67,596
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$30,076,100</b>	<b>\$2,904,758</b>	<b>\$120,700</b>	<b>(\$552,000)</b>	<b>\$32,549,558</b>

**FY 2002/03**  
**EMERGENCY ASSISTANCE FOSTER CARE ALLOCATION**  
**TANF**

<b>County</b>	<b>EA - FC TANF</b>
Alameda	\$360,654
Alpine	\$0
Amador	\$274
Butte	\$0
Calaveras	\$0
Colusa	\$0
Contra Costa	\$106,664
Del Norte	\$21,147
El Dorado	\$21,518
Fresno	\$124,799
Glenn	\$670
Humboldt	\$2,827
Imperial	\$0
Inyo	\$14,168
Kern	\$25,335
Kings	\$5,818
Lake	\$2,184
Lassen	\$0
Los Angeles	\$2,112,613
Madera	\$1,443
Marin	\$2,363
Mariposa	\$0
Mendocino	\$51,915
Merced	\$1,616
Modoc	\$0
Mono	\$0
Monterey	\$5,603
Napa	\$1,309
Nevada	\$1,126
Orange	\$436,011
Placer	\$153,070
Plumas	\$0
Riverside	\$378,940
Sacramento	\$106,298
San Benito	\$147
San Bernardino	\$318,748
San Diego	\$101,358
San Francisco	\$184,738
San Joaquin	\$38,576
San Luis Obispo	\$43,170
San Mateo	\$82,101
Santa Barbara	\$22,231
Santa Clara	\$340,091
Santa Cruz	\$67,788
Shasta	\$10,396
Sierra	\$0
Siskiyou	\$1,690
Solano	\$3,526
Sonoma	\$44,958
Stanislaus	\$10,749
Sutter	\$6,410
Tehama	\$2,986
Trinity	\$0
Tulare	\$49,715
Tuolumne	\$15,150
Ventura	\$0
Yolo	\$16,163
Yuba	\$12,945
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,312,000</b>

**FY 2002/03 NON-ASSISTANCE FOOD STAMPS  
ALLOCATED PREMISES**

The following provides a brief description of each premise amount contained in your NAFS Allocation

<b>PREMISE NAME</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>COST</b>	<b>SAVINGS</b>
NAFS Administrative Basic	This premise reflects the administrative costs for the NAFS Program. Due to the shortfall in the State Budget, your administrative basic cost is funded at the same level as FY 01/02. In addition, the SFIS Savings, the Fraud Investigator Training and Deceased Persons Match were rolled into Basic for FY 02/03.	X	
Budget Adjustment	The Budget Adjustment allocates the cost for caseload and postage increase based on a percent to total of each county's Average Monthly NAFS Intake & Continuing Calendar Year 2001 caseload from the DFA 296 report (lines 4b, 4c, 7a and 8), with a minimum floor of \$150 for postage. In addition, the Budget Adjustment allocates the savings due to the lower revenues and other demands on the available State General Funds. This savings is allocated on a percent to total of each county's FY 02/03 NAFS Admin Basic Allocation. An adjustment was made for the Small and Very Small counties to not be impacted by the savings.	X	X
Staff Development	This premise reflects the funding for Staff Development. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's average percent to total of the FY 01/02 Food Stamps Expenditures and FY 00/01 Budgeted NAFS Full-time Equivalent (FTEs) eligibility workers. An adjustment was made to the allocation to fund the State share of costs for staff training among the 41 counties of the Inter-County Policy and Planning Committee which contracts with the University of California, Davis.	X	
Nationwide Prisoner Match	This premise reflects the funding for the administrative costs related to the tracking of clients that are incarcerated which results in the reduction of public benefits / payments to ineligible individuals. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's percent to total of the Average Monthly NAFS Continuing Calendar Year 2001 caseload from the DFA 296 report (lines 4b, 4c and 7a) while guaranteeing counties a minimum of \$25 in State Funds.	X	
Los Angeles Eligibility, Automated Determination, Evaluation and Reporting (LEADER) System	This premise reflects anticipated savings associated with the maintenance and operation of Los Angeles County's Legacy System, as identified within the June 2001 LEADER IAPDU. With the implementation of the SAWS-LEADER system in the county, the cost of the existing Legacy System will be reduced.		X
Public Assistance (PA) to Non-Assistance (NA) Shift	This premise reflects the shift of funds from the CalWORKs Eligibility Program to the NAFS Program for the share of eligibility costs associated with the Food Stamps Program. The allocation was calculated by developing a July 2001-Dec 2001 PA caseload (DFA 256 Report Part A Line 1A) to the July 2001-Dec 2001 CalWORKs caseload (CA 237 Part b Line 8) ratio. This ratio is applied to the FY 02/03 CalWORKs Eligibility Allocation. Each county's percent to total of this amount is used to distribute the budgeted cost for this premise.	X	

**FY 2002/03 NON-ASSISTANCE FOOD STAMPS  
ALLOCATED PREMISES**

The following provides a brief description of each premise amount contained in your NAFS Allocation

<b>PREMISE NAME</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>COST</b>	<b>SAVINGS</b>
Inaccessible Vehicle Resource	This premise reflects the administrative costs to the CalWORKs program, CFAP and the Food Stamps Program associated with changes to the federal FS Program regulations implementing new vehicle valuation rules. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's percent to total of the Average Monthly NAFS Intake & Continuing Calendar Year 2001 caseload from the DFA 296 report (lines 4b, 4c, 7a and 8) while guaranteeing counties a minimum of \$50 in State Funds.	X	
Prospective Budgeting	This premise reflects the Food Stamps administrative savings and grant/coupon costs associated with implementing a quarterly reporting system using prospective budgeting in projecting income over a three-month period for CalWORKs, Food Stamps and CFAP. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's percent to total of their Average Monthly NAFS Intake & Continuing Calendar Year 2001 caseload from the DFA 296 report (lines 4b, 4c, 7a and 8).		X
Electronic Benefit Transfer Administrative Impact	This premise reflects the net impact to county administrative costs associated with eliminating the current Food Stamp delivery system, CalWORKs warrant issuance and delivery, and implementing new activities for the Statewide EBT Project. The allocation was based on the most recent implementation schedule included in the IAPD. Of the 19 counties implementing EBT for Food Stamps delivery, 16 have elected to utilize EBT delivery for CalWORKs benefits.	X	X
California Food Assistance Program (CFAP)	This premise reflects the costs for state only legal non-citizens who are federally ineligible. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's percent to total of their Average Monthly NAFS Intake & Continuing Calendar Year 2001 caseload from the DFA 296 report (lines 4b, 4c, 7a and 8).	X	

**FY 2002/03 FOSTER CARE  
ALLOCATED PREMISES**

The following provides a brief description of each premise amount contained in your Foster Care Allocation

<b>PREMISE NAME</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>	<b>COST</b>	<b>SAVINGS</b>
FC Administrative Basic	This premise reflects the administrative costs for the FC Program. Due to the shortfall in the State Budget, your administrative basic cost is funded at the same level as FY 01/02.	X	
Adoptions Assistance Program	AAP funds were allocated using data for calendar year 2001, provided by the Adoptions Initiative Bureau. Federally eligible AAP cases have a funding ratio of 50 percent Federal funds and 50 percent State funds. Non-Federally eligible cases are 100 percent State funded. Staff Development for the AAP is 75% Federally funded and 25% State funded.	X	
FC Staff Development	This premise reflects the funding for Staff Development. The allocation was calculated by multiplying each county's average percent to total of the FY 01/02 Foster Care Expenditures and FY 00/01 Budgeted FC Full-time Equivalent (FTEs) eligibility workers. An adjustment was made to the allocation to fund the State share of costs for staff training amount the 41 counties of the Inter-County Policy and Planning Committee which contracts with the University of California, Davis.	X	
Kinship Guardianship Assistance Payment (Kin-GAP) Program Savings	The premise represents an estimated savings of State General Funds in Foster Care due to those cases being diverted from placement and/or services. The distribution was based on a percent to total of each county's actual Kin-GAP caseload for February 2001 through January 2002.		X
Emergency Assistance Foster Care (EA/FC)	This premise provides funds to counties for the Emergency Assistance portion of Foster Care. Individual county allocations were calculated by multiplying the percent to total ratio of Federal expenditures for FY 01/02 to the statewide total available funding. Effective December 1999 EA/FC is funded 85% from the TANF block grant, with a 15% county share. Expenditures exceeding the TANF allocations will be shifted to county share.	X	



The state share of administration costs reported on the County Expense Claim (CEC) will be charged to the allocation as follows:

**FOSTER CARE (FC) DFA 327.4 & 327.5**

230 – Adoptions Assistance IV-E  
345 – AFDC Foster Care Eligibility  
613 – AFDC Foster Care Eligibility – Voluntary Program  
300 – STEP Eligibility Determination

**FC State Use Only Code**

612 – Foster Care (including AAP) Overmatch

**EA FC DFA 327.4 & 327.5**

223 – EA FC Eligibility

**EA FC State Use Only Code**

199 – EA Foster Care Overmatch

**FOOD STAMPS (FS) DFA 327.4 & 327.5**

211 – FS Issuance (& EBT Project for San Diego and San Bernardino only)  
214 – FSOLIS  
218 – NAFS-IEVS  
234 – Fraud – NAFS AFIRM (LA County) PDQ Contract  
262 – U.S. Residency Project – NAFS  
268 – SAVE Program – NAFS  
275 – EFD/P – NAFS  
310 – NAFS – Fraud  
312 – PA Food Stamp Fraud  
334 – Fraud – NAFS AFIRM (LA County) PDQ Contract  
341 – EFD/P – NAFS (WFI)  
343 – NAFS Eligibility  
344 – NAFS Program Integrity  
347 – NAFS Quality Control  
606 – CFAP – Families  
609 – CFAP – Singles  
611 – NAFS – Jail Match (SB1556)

**FS State Use Only Codes**

284 – SUO - NAFS  
298 – SUO-NAFS SB90

**For Your Information Only:**

070 – Federal Grant Reduction

\*As a final note, some counties have expressed concern regarding the impact of Program Code 070, “Federal Grant Reduction,” on their NAFS allocation. Program Code 070, effective June 1999, was established to back fill the loss of Federal Food Stamp funds with State General Fund. The loss of this Federal funding was the result of a Federal Public Law 105-185 (signed June 23, 1998). This code will not impact your NAFS allocation, although it will impact the funding ratio of your payment. It is completely out of the ledger allocation control process and is a fixed shift amount each quarter. If you need more information regarding this adjustment, please call (916) 657-3390 and ask for the Federal Reporting Section.